Docket No: 2003B133B Confirmation No: 4339

REMARKS

Double Patenting

All pending claims (excluding claim 54) stand rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory A.

obviousness-type double patenting over claims of US Patent 7,332,554 (Shaffer I).

B. Claim 54 stands rejected over claim 10 of US Patent 7,491,773 (Shaffer II) on the

grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting.

These rejections were not withdrawn as the previously filed terminal disclaimers were

denied as an Attorney of Record had not signed the TDs. Previously filed to this response, a

new Power of Attorney, signed by a prior attorney of record, was filed, the new Power of

Attorney granting full Powers of Attorney to the undersigned.

Concurrent with the filing of this Amendment, Applicants are filing new terminal

disclaimers over the US Patent 7,332,554 and US Patent 7,491,773.

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

All pending claims (excluding 70) were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Priola

(US 4107417). Claim 70 was also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over

Priola. It is noted that these rejections are not repeated and thus are presumably withdrawn in

light of the previously submitted arguments.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney if there are any issues

outstanding which have not been addressed to the Examiner's satisfaction or if there are other

issues which the Examiner believes can be readily resolved via a telephone interview.

Respectfully submitted,

May 28, 2009 /Nancy T. Krawczyk/

Nancy T Krawczyk Date Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 38,744

ExxonMobil Chemical Co. Law Technology P.O. Box 2149

Baytown, Texas 77522-2149 Phone: 281-834-2429

Fax: 281-834-2495